

# BOARD OF PARK COMMISSIONERS

## SPECIAL MEETING

**Monday, March 15, 1999**

Present: June Bailey, Bob Martz, M.S. Mitchell, Leon Robinson

Absent: Lucy Burtnett, Pat Consolver and William Sanders

Also Present: Tim Martz, Janice McKinney, and Maryann Crockett (Staff)

### AGENDA

**Approval of the Final Park Consultant Facility Report.** The Board reviewed the report noting typographical errors and the deletion of duplicate information. Board members also expressed concern and requested clarification on specific information as noted below:

- inclusion of an executive summary
- page 2-12 under *key values* - correct the statement "Build [on] developing a sense of community"
- page 3-1 under Methodology - additional wording to clarify the statement concerning Phone surveys. "Phone surveys were conducted to ensure that the final sample had at least [a sum of] 114 completed responses from each of the six districts [between the two methods].
- page 1 (Appendix A) under Section III - Community Survey - add questions 1 and 2. Also, under [other parks and open spaces] mentioned by less than 2%, clarify that these may not necessarily be City parks.
- drop the page numbers (i.e., 3-1, 3-2) under Appendix A in Section III - Community Survey to eliminate confusing page numbers in this section
- page 3-3 under Community Recreation Center Use - correct one-third (68%) to [two-thirds]. Also, under the same section  $45\% + 22\% + 19\% = 86\%$ . Add statement about 14% (to equal 100%).
- make sure page numbers show on the Benchmarking Survey Data under Comparative Communities and that they are readable.
- eliminate reference to Maintained Acres, Golf Course Acres, Cemetery Acres and Maintained Park Acres under Benchmarking Survey Data.
- how did you come up with cost per day on baseball diamonds (lighted), softball diamonds (lighted) and cost per hour on outdoor pools? What is the purpose of this "comparative" data ?
- pages V-1 through 1, 2, and 3, insert narrative or preface on how Board came up with their recommendations on various facilities, including visiting each site, elimination of some consultant recommendations, etc. Insert statement that these are Board recommendations as opposed to pages 4 and 5 which are the consultant recommendations based on NRPA standards
- page 1 of 1 under "Relationship of City Facilities to National Standards" insert narrative on comparisons with National Recreation and Park Association standards. Board felt it should be noted that the Wichita Park Department was not the only "provider" of these recreational services. Also eliminate reference to golf courses on this comparison chart and adjust totals accordingly.

- eliminate Low Range, Medium Range and Unit of Measure under Capital Improvements - Cost Breakdown. Change “High Range” to [Projected Cost]
- page 1 under Capital Improvements - Cost Breakdown at West Douglas Park change to [Renovate Park], not Upgrade Park Masterplan
- under Capital Improvements - Cost Breakdown delete all references to golf courses in this chart and adjust totals according.
- under Capital Improvements - Cost Breakdown the Board also discussed subtracting funding currently authorized in the Capital Improvement Program for the recommended improvements listed. Board would also like to include subtraction of \$1,000,000 authorized under the special “playground equipment fund”

On motion by Mitchell, second Bailey, IT WAS VOTED to tentatively approve the report, with corrections as noted, provided the Consultant furnished explanations on various report elements.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:45 p.m.

---

M.S. Mitchell, First Vice President

ATTEST:

---

Maryann Crockett, Clerk